# **Cordua Elementary School**

2830 Highway 20 • Marysville, CA 95901 • (530) 741-6115 • Grades K-5
Lisa Goodman, Principal
Igoodman@mjusd.com

# 2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



# Marysville Joint Unified School District

1919 B Street Marysville, CA 95901 (530) 741-6000 www.mjusd.com

#### **District Governing Board**

Jeff D. Boom
Frank J. Crawford
Anthony J. Dannible
Jim C. Flurry
Glen E. Harris
Randy L. Rasmussen
Bernard P. Rechs

#### **District Administration**

Gay Todd, Ed.D. **Superintendent** 



### **School Description**

Settled in the heartland of Yuba County a new crop of productive, successful Americans is being cultivated. Out of the dust of the gold rush emerged Cordua School, founded in the late 1800's to serve the children of agricultural families. Cordua is a changing community devoted to education. Cordua serves a multitude of ethnic backgrounds and this wealth of diversity enriches cultural awareness and acceptance. The school climate encourages and promotes parent participation ensuring all are represented and valued. Cordua is proud of its successful students and their accomplishments. Our School Plan provides resources and a focus on high expectations for student learning, as well as staff and parent development.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

The Marysville Joint Unified School District Board of Trustees believes that, in order to maximize student learning and achievement in all subgroups, certificated staff members must be continuously engaged in learning and improving their skills through deep professional learning and collaboration. The district offers a program of ongoing professional development which includes opportunities for teachers to enhance their instructional and classroom management skills and become informed about changes in teaching strategies and subject matter. Along with ongoing grade level articulation and trainings scheduled during and after school, teachers participate in 7 days of professional development on non-instructional days.

#### **About the SARC**

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (530) 741-6115 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level	Number of Students				
Kindergarten	24				
Grade 1	33				
Grade 2	15				
Grade 3	16				
Grade 4	18				
Grade 5	17				
Total Enrollment	123				

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
American Indian or Alaska Native	4.1				
Asian	4.1				
Hispanic or Latino	18.7				
White	62.6				
Two or More Races	10.6				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64.2				
English Learners	7.3				
Students with Disabilities	13				

#### A. Conditions of Learning

#### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials								
Cordua Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16					
With Full Credential	7	6	6					
Without Full Credential	0	0	0					
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0					
Marysville Joint Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16					
With Full Credential	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	354					
Without Full Credential	*	*	7					
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	<b>*</b>	+	0					

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School								
Cordua Elementary School	14-15	15-16						
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0					
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0					
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0					

<sup>&</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

# **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers** Taught by Highly Not Taught by Highly **Location of Classes Qualified Teachers Qualified Teachers** This School 100.0 0.0 Districtwide All Schools 95.6 4.4 **High-Poverty Schools** 95.6 4.5 0.0 Low-Poverty Schools 100.0

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

State law, effective July 29, 2009, delayed all instructional materials adoptions and the development of curriculum frameworks and evaluation criteria until the 2015-16 school year. Since then specific legislation has authorized the State Board of Education to conduct adoptions in mathematics (Assembly Bill 1246) and English language arts/English language development (Senate Bill 201). The state adopted mathematics in January 2014, and English language arts/English language development is scheduled to be state adopted in 2016. The Marysville Joint Unified School District provided new state adopted mathematics curriculum beginning in 2014-15 and is slated to provide new English language arts/English language development curriculum in 2016-17.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 2014								
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption							
Reading/Language Arts	Open Court, SRA/McGraw Hill (2002) High Point, Hampton Brown (2002) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0%						
Mathematics	Go Math!, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (2014)  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:  Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0%						
Science	California Science, MacMillan McGraw Hill (2007) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0%						
History-Social Science	History Social Studies for California, Pearson Scott Foresma The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes						

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: November 2015							
Suctam Inspected		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х						
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х						
Electrical: Electrical	Х						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains			X		Work order submitted to replace Preschool toilets.		
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences			X		Parts of exterior need repainted.		
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
		Х					

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students								
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards  Subject (grades 3-8 and 11)								
	School	District	State					
ELA	20	30	44					
Math	16	21	33					

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison										
	Pe	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School District State									
	12-13	12-13   13-14   14-15   12-13   13-14   14-15   12-13   13-14   14-15							14-15	
Science		42	29	48	48	47	59	60	56	

<sup>\*</sup> Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6				
5	5.90	35.30	41.20				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Res	sults by Student Group
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
All Students in the LEA	47
All Student at the School	29
Male	30
Female	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	-
Hispanic or Latino	
White	-
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Foster Youth	

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students				
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	15	15	100.0	53	27	7	13
	4	20	19	95.0	58	21	11	11
	5	17	17	100.0	47	35	18	0
Male	3	15	7	46.7				
	4	20	10	50.0				
	5	17	13	76.5	46	38	15	0
Female	3	15	8	53.3				
	4	20	9	45.0				
	5	17	4	23.5				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	15	3	20.0				
	5	17	1	5.9				
Asian	4	20	2	10.0				
	5	17	1	5.9				

# School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven										
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
Hispanic or Latino	4	20	3	15.0						
	5	17	5	29.4						
White	3	15	9	60.0						
	4	20	12	60.0	58	25	8	8		
	5	17	8	47.1						
Two or More Races	3	15	3	20.0						
	4	20	2	10.0						
	5	17	2	11.8						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	15	8	53.3						
	4	20	14	70.0	71	14	7	7		
	5	17	9	52.9						
Students with Disabilities	3	15	3	20.0						
	4	20	1	5.0						
	5	17	3	17.6						
Foster Youth	3									
	4									
	5									

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven									
	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students					
Student Group		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
All Students	3	15	15	100.0	47	40	13	0	
	4	20	19	95.0	42	32	26	0	
Male	3	15	7	46.7					
	4	20	10	50.0					
Female	3	15	8	53.3					
	4	20	9	45.0					
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	15	3	20.0					
Asian	4	20	2	10.0					
Hispanic or Latino	4	20	3	15.0					
White	3	15	9	60.0					
	4	20	12	60.0	42	33	25	0	

#### School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students** Grade **Student Group** Standard Standard Standard Standard **Enrolled** Tested Tested Not Met **Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded** Two or More Races 3 15 3 20.0 4 20 2 10.0 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 3 8 15 53.3 --4 20 14 70.0 50 36 14 0 Students with Disabilities 3 15 3 20.0 4 5.0 20 1 ----**Foster Youth** 3 --

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

· Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Educating our children is a team effort. Parents and guardians, students, teachers, support staff, and the community benefit by a shared responsibility for learning. Excellence in education is achieved when parents and the community help educators prepare students to successfully reach their dreams. Together we can open the doors of tomorrow for students today. Cordua encourages parents to be part of their child's learning experience in a variety of ways such as: Parent Teacher Conferences, Back-to-School Night, The Winter Program, Open House, Awards Assemblies, Parent Trainings, Family Nights, monthly PTO and SSC meetings, field trips, class parties, fundraising efforts, and volunteering. Each Thursday, weekly notices are sent home to parents and the School Messenger phone system is used as another communication tool. Cordua School has an open door policy and encourages parents to come to school or call to share concerns or obtain answers to questions. Please call to become involved and demonstrate the importance of education to your child.

Parent involvement coordinator: Lisa Goodman (530) 741-6115

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

· Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **School Safety Plan**

Each school site has adopted a Comprehensive Safe School Plan to ensure our school environment is conducive to academic achievement. It is a working document reviewed on a regular basis and has been developed through our School Safety Planning Committee (SSC). This planning committee is composed of school staff, district staff, community members, and local law enforcement. We work closely with other school administrators to ensure that all school safety plans are consistent throughout the district. Improvements and revisions are made at our monthly safety meetings with local law enforcement.

Signs are visibly posted throughout the school requiring all non-school employees to register at the office. All staff members are trained to stop and question any person who is not wearing an identifying badge issued from the office. The Site Council formally adopts the Safe School Plan, and it is board approved before March 1 every year. The plan includes the following major elements: safe school goals, school crime assessment, safe school strategies and programs, child abuse reporting procedures, disaster response procedures, suspension and expulsion policy, teacher safety, sexual harassment policy, district tobacco policy - safe school drug and tobacco education, dress code, safety standards for arrival and departure, and school discipline.

The safety of our students is our top priority. Each month, our students and staff participate in safety drills designed to address potential emergencies at our school including fire evacuation, earthquake drop and cover, and lock downs in the event of an on-campus intruder. Catapult EMS is a communication system used during emergencies to inform staff, the District Safety Team, and law enforcement of a school incident or crisis. The system uses real-time student accounting, staff location check-ins, threat report management, and messaging through text messages and emails.

Suspensions and Expulsions					
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
Suspensions Rate	1.96	0.79	2.88		
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00		
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
Suspensions Rate	10.90	9.33	8.43		
Expulsions Rate	0.64	0.56	0.56		
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80		
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09		

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria							
AYP Criteria	School	District	State				
English Language Arts							
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Mathematics							
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes				

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program				
Indicator	District			
Program Improvement Status	In Pl			
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	16			
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	72.7			

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)											
	Number of Classrooms*											
	Average Cl	ass Size		1-20 21-32 33+								
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	21	20	26		1		1	1	1			
1			25						1			
2	24	22	21				1	1	1			
4	27	23	23				1	1	1			
5		28	28					1	1			
Other	13			1								

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0.00			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.00			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.00			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.50			
Psychologist	0.20			
Social Worker	0.00			
Nurse	0.00			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.20			
Resource Specialist	0.00			
Other	0.00			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor 0.00				

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,063	\$42,315			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$61,403	\$66,451			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$88,480	\$85,603			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$105,478	\$105,079			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$108,050	\$111,005			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$123,452	\$121,310			
Superintendent Salary	\$168,000	\$189,899			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	37%	39%			
Administrative Salaries	6%	6%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <a href="www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
11	Average					
Level	Total	Teacher Salary				
School Site	\$8,236	\$2,072	\$6,164	\$55,626		
District	•	<b>*</b>	\$5,533	\$64,386		
State	• •		\$5,348	\$69,257		
Percent Difference: School Site/District			11.4	-13.6		
Percent Diffe	erence: School	15.3	-19.7			

<sup>\*</sup> Cells with ♦ do not require data.

#### **Types of Services Funded**

The district receives revenue limit and other apportionments to provide direct instruction and support to students. These monies pay for teachers, site administration, district office personnel, maintenance, transportation, special education, and all operating expenses. Each site receives a discretionary allocation for site operations. Sites are not responsible for utilities or facility operations. Sites also receive support services such as counseling, health, psychological, and routine maintenance. School sites receive additional monies for supplemental academic interventions directed to targeted students who are not preforming at grade level.